

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/940,174	08/27/2001	Lane W. Lee	M-12038 US	5308	
7590 12/08/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP			HEWITT II,	HEWITT II, CALVIN L	
SUITE 226	DLOGY DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, C	CA 95110		3621		
			DATE MAILED: 12/08/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/940,174	LEE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Calvin L. Hewitt II	3621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
	· ·	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disnositi	ion of Claims	,					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-3,9,15,17,18,21 and 22 is/are pendidal Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3, 9, 15, 17, 18, 21 and 22 is/are rejudiam(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the oreginal or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaratio	ected. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
			7.0.10.17 01 102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inforn	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 09/940,174

Art Unit: 3621

Status of Claims

1. Claims 1-3, 9, 15, 17, 18, 21 and 22.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant attempts to differentiate the claimed method and apparatus from the prior art based on conditional or optional language (e.g. "if", "whether"). However, language that suggest or makes optional but does not require steps to be performed or does not limit a claim to a particular structure does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation (MPEP, 2106, II, C).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/940,174 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

4. Claims 1-3, 15, 17, 18 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Morito, U.S. Patent No. 6,782,190.

As per claims 1, 2, 15, 17, 18, 21 and 22, Morito teaches a method and system for detecting unauthorized actions with respect to content on an optical disk comprising:

- reading an identifier on an optical disk (column 4, lines 20-65; column/line 5/63-6/3)
- determining whether the identifier was located in the read-only (i.e. Sp) or writeable portion (i.e. Sd) of the media disk (column/line 5/63-6/3)
- determining whether the identifier is pre-recorded (column 6, lines 8-11) or written (column 5, lines 60-64; column 6, lines 3-7) and if the identifier is pre-recorded (figures 1 and 2) and in the writeable portion (i.e. comparison step) then detecting an unauthorized action (i.e. detecting that the identifier from the ROM area Sp is different from the identifier Sd in the copy control information of the writeable area) using only the identifier in the writeable portion (column/line 5/64-6/5; column 6, lines 12-15)
- reading the identifier during an optical disk [access] operation including one or more of record, play, get play key, copy, open, close or create (abstract; column/line 7/64-8/21)

As per claim 21, while features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function alone. Hence, as Morito clearly discloses a structure comprising Applicant's reading means (column 4, lines 20-65; column/line 5/63-6/3), determining means (column/line 5/60-6/11) and detecting means (column 6, lines 12-15), Applicant's claim 21 is anticipated by the prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morito, U.S. Patent No. 6,782,190 in view of Ram et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,519,700.

As per claim 3, Morito discloses content copy protection (abstract).

Specifically, Morito teaches detecting unauthorized copying of content from one optical disk to another. However, Morito does not explicitly recite revoking rights

Application/Control Number: 09/940,174

Art Unit: 3621

to content. Ram et al. teach revoking a user's to content after detecting unauthorized use (column 8, lines 20-23). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Morito and Ram et al. in order to extend the copy protection scheme of Morito. For example, by implementing the Morito system with additional rights directed to the content itself ('700, column 8, lines 1-22), content "ripped" from the optical disk cannot be redistributed without authorization from the content owner.

7. Claims 9 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morito, U.S. Patent No. 6,782,190.

As per claims 9 and 21, Morito teaches reading an identifier on a media disk (column 4, lines 20-65; column/line 5/63-6/3). Claims 9 and 21 are dedicated to a description of the identifier. However, this is non-functional data as the method and apparatus do not perform key generation and using a generated key to decrypt files. Recall claims 1 and 15, from which claims 9 and 21 depend, respectively, are dedicated to detecting unauthorized actions. The MPEP defines non-functional descriptive material as data that does not alter the performance of a process or computer (2106 section V, B, 2). Therefore, to one of ordinary skill once said unauthorized action is identified no further processing or access is permitted (for example see claim 3) (descriptive material cannot render nonobvious an invention that would have otherwise been obvious (*In re Gulack*,

- Application/Control Number: 09/940,174

Art Unit: 3621

703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (when descriptive material is not functionally related to the substrate, the descriptive material will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability)).

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Calvin Loyd Hewitt II whose telephone number is (571) 272-6709. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Andrew Fischer, can be reached at (571) 272-6779.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 7

Primary Examiner

December 4, 2006